

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SHIRLEY L. WILLIAMS,  
Plaintiff,

No. 1:10-cv-00194-CL

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,  
Commissioner of Social Security,  
Defendant.

---

**ORDER**

**PANNER, District Judge:**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court makes a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Report and Recommendation, so

I have reviewed this matter de novo. I agree with Magistrate Judge Clarke's proposed disposition. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Clarke.

**CONCLUSION**

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#19) is adopted. Pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the decision of the Commissioner is reversed and remanded for further proceedings consistent with the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this   1   day of February, 2012.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Owen M. Panner", is written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE